	Caaee#113-aw-0113000-1151W	Documentt222	Filed0099/0023/1144	Pragge1.106#7		
1	Courtland L. Reichman					
2	McKool Smith Hennigan P.C. 255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510					
3	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650)-394-1401 Facsimile: (650)-394-1422					
4						
5	creichman@mckoolsmithhennigan.com					
6	Robert Auchter (PRO HAC VICE) McKool Smith P.C.					
7	1999 K Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 370-8300					
8						
	Fax: (202) 370-8344 rauchter@mckoolsmith.com					
9						
10	ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ChriMar Systems Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies and ChriMar Holding Company, LLC					
12						
13	ChriMar Holding Company, LLC.					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	OAKLAND DIVISION					
17			Case No. 4:13-cv	-1300-ISW		
18	ChriMar Systems Inc. et al.,					
19	Plaintiffs,			AND [PROPOSED] NTINUE THE HEARING		
20	v.		DATE FOR PLA MOTION TO DI	INTIFF CHRIMAR'S		
21	Cisso Systems Inc. et al			IMS (DOCKET NO. 202)		
22	Cisco Systems Inc., et al.,	J	ludge: Honoi	rable Jeffrey S. White		
23	Defendants.	I	Magistrate Judge:	Maria-Elena James		
24						
25	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(b), 6-2 and 7-7, the parties hereby jointly stipulate and reques					
26	that the Court continue the current hearing date on the Motion to Dismiss Defendants HP and					
27	Cisco's Monopolization and Section 17200 Counterclaims and HP's Attempted Monopolization					
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO O	MOTION TO		Case No. 4:13-cv-1300-JSW		

MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION • ATTORNEYS REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA

Caaee41133-cov-00133000-JJSW/ Doccumentt221 Filed00990023/114 Prages22of47

1	Counterclaim (Dkt. No. 202) filed by Plaintiff ChriMar Systems, Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies				
2	and ChriMar Holding Company, LLC's (collectively, "ChriMar" or "Plaintiff"). This request is				
3	supported by good cause and will not affect any other deadlines in this case, as addressed in the				
4	attached Declaration of Brandon M. Jordan.				
5	WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully stipulate and move that the Court enter this				
6	stipulation to continue the hearing date from October 3, 2014 to October 17, 2014, as set forth in				
7	the attached proposed order.				
8	- 1				
9	Dated: September 2, 2014	Respectfully submitted,			
10		MCKOOL SMITH			
		By: /s/ Brandon M. Jordan			
11		Robert Auchter (PRO HAC VICE)			
12		Benjamin Levi (PRO HAC VICE) Dirk Thomas (PRO HAC VICE)			
13		Jeffrey Frey (PRO HAC VICE)			
14		Brandon Jordan (PRO HAC VICE)			
11		MCKOOL SMITH P.C.			
15		1999 K Street NW, Suite 600			
16		Washington, DC 20006			
10		Telephone: (202) 370-8300			
17		Fax: (202) 370-8344 rauchter@mckoolsmith.com			
18		blevi@mckoolsmith.com			
10		dthomas@mckoolsmith.com			
19		jfrey@mckoolsmith.com			
20		bjordan@mckoolsmith.com			
21		Courtland Reichman			
21		McKool Smith Hennigan			
22		255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510			
22		Redwood Shores, CA 94065			
23		Telephone: (650)-394-1045 Facsimile: (650)-394-1422			
24		creichman@mckoolsmithhennigan.com			
25		Attorney for Plaintiffs ChriMar Systems			
26		Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies and			
27		ChriMar Holding Company, LLC			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE				
28	DIT CENTION AND IT KOLOSED ONDER TO CONTINUE THE	2			

		Casee#113-cov-00138000-JJSAW Domaumeentt22	Paggs35647
	1		Respectfully submitted,
	2	Dated: September 2, 2014	By: /s/ Michael W. De Vries
	3		Michael W. De Vries
	4		KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 333 South Hope Street
	5		Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400
	6		Facsimile: (213) 680-8500
	7		Steven Cherny (Pro Hac Vice)
	8		James E. Marina (Pro Hac Vice) Brian Paul Gearing (Pro Hac Vice)
	9		KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue
	10		New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 446-4800
EYS	11		Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
GAN Vitorn Rnia	12		Attorneys for Defendants
Hennigan Viion • Attr California	13		Cisco Systems Inc. and Linksys LLC f/k/a/ Cisco Consumer Products LLC
MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN Pessional Corporation • Attorneys Redwood Shores, California	14	Dated: September 2, 2014	Respectfully submitted,
MCKOOL S Fessional C Redwood S	15	2.F 2, 202	By: /s/ Charles J. Hawkins
≅	16		_
A P	17		David H. Dolkas (SBN: 111080) Darryl J. Ong (SBN: 286621)
	18		McDermott Will & Emery LLP 275 Middlefield Rd., Suite 100
	19		Menlo Park, CA 94025 ddolkas@mwe.com
	20		djong@mwe.com
	21		Telephone: 650-815-7400 Facsimile: 650-815-7401
	22		Robert J. Walters (Pro Hac Vice)
	23		Charles J. Hawkins (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Raymond M. Gabriel (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	24		McDermott Will & Emery LLP 500 North Capitol Street, N.W.
	25		Washington, D.C. 20001
	26		rwalters@mwe.com chawkins@mwe.com
	27	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE	rgabriel@mwe.com
	28	HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFF CHRIMAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS (DOCKET NO. 202)	3 Case No. 4:13-cv-1300-JSW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

ChriMar Systems Inc. et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Cisco Systems Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:13-cv-1300-JSW

DECLARATION OF BRANDON M. JORDAN IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFF CHRIMAR'S MOTION TO **DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS (DOCKET** NO. 202)

Judge: Honorable Jeffrey S. White Magistrate Judge: Maria-Elena James

DECLARATION OF BRANDON M. JORDAN

- I, Brandon M. Jordan, the undersigned, declare:
- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. I am an attorney with the law firm of McKool Smith, P.C., counsel for Plaintiffs ChriMar Systems Inc. and ChriMar Holding Company LLC ("ChriMar") in the above-captioned action. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts set forth herein.
- 2. The parties believe that there is good cause for the requested continuance as stated in the subsequent paragraphs. The parties have conferred, stipulated, and hereby request that the Court enter their stipulation to continue the hearing date from October 3, 2014, to October 17, 2014.
- 3. Plaintiff ChriMar's motion to dismiss was originally noticed for a hearing on September 26, 2014. The parties' previously stipulated to enlarge time for Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's motion to dismiss and to enlarge time for Plaintiff to file its reply (Dkt.
- 27 No. 211). While the parties' previous stipulation did not seek to alter the originally-noticed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

26

27

28

hearing date of September 26, 2014, on August 26, 2014, the Court issued an order granting the stipulated request, but also modifying the hearing date to October 3, 2014. (See Docket Text accompanying the Court's Order Granting the parties' stipulation to enlarge time for Defendants to oppose Plaintiff's motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' reply at Dkt. No. 220).

- 4. Plaintiffs request this continuance due to a conflict with the October 3, 2014 hearing date. Counsel for ChriMar has a hearing scheduled in Louisville, Kentucky on October 2, 2014 in another matter which compromises counsel's ability to prepare and secure travel for appearance in California the following morning. I have conferred with counsel for the parties in this matter and confirmed that October 17, 2014 is the next available hearing date that does not conflict with the schedules of the parties' counsel.
- 5. The Court has previously granted a joint motion to extend the deadline to serve initial disclosures (Dkt. No. 124); Cisco's unopposed motion to extend the deadline for production under Patent L.R. 3-4(a) (Dkt. No. 148); HP's unopposed motion to extend the deadline for production under Patent L.R. 3-4(a) (Dkt. No. 149); a joint stipulation to extend the deadline for the Patent L.R. 4-2 exchange (Dkt. No. 154); and a joint stipulation to extend the briefing schedule of ChriMar's Defendants HP and Cisco's Monopolization and Section 17200 Counterclaims and HP's Attempted Monopolization Counterclaim (Dkt. No. 220).
 - 6. The requested continuance will not affect any other deadlines in this case.
 - 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

21 Dated: September 2, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

23 /s/ Brandon M. Jordan Brandon M. Jordan 24

DECLARATION OF BRANDON M. JORDAN IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFF CHRIMAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS (DOCKET NO. 202)

Document22222 Filect09/02/14 Page 7 of 7

Case 4::13-cv-01300-JSW